

#### Reading assignment THURSDAY 12/3: 16.6, 16.7

Homework Assignment #5 due by: TUESDAY 12/15 before 9AM. For a star with M>8  $M_{Sun}$ , the temperature in the core can get high enough for C and O fusion, ending its life as a CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVA (type Ib, Ic, II).

The He-fusing shell adds ash to the C/O core, the core continues to contract, T rises until C fusion ignites, generating <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>20</sup>Ne, <sup>23</sup>Na, <sup>23</sup>Mg, <sup>24</sup>Mg (very dependent on mass of the star) ==> onion-like shell structure.

For a star with M>8  $M_{Sun}$ , the temperature in the core can get high enough for C and O fusion, ending its life as a CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVA (type Ib, Ic, II).

The He-fusing shell adds ash to the C/O core, the core continues to contract, T rises until C fusion ignites, generating <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>20</sup>Ne, <sup>23</sup>Na, <sup>23</sup>Mg, <sup>24</sup>Mg (very dependent on mass of the star) ==> onion-like shell structure.

Following C fusion, the O in the resulting Ne/O core will fuse, producing new core dominated by <sup>28</sup>Si. At T~3x10<sup>9</sup> K, Si fusion begins:

$$S_{1}^{32} + H_{e}^{4} \rightleftharpoons S_{1}^{32} + Y$$

$$S_{1}^{32} + H_{e}^{4} \rightleftharpoons A_{1}^{36} + Y$$

$$C_{1}^{52} + H_{e}^{4} \rightleftharpoons N_{1}^{54} + Y$$

For a star with M>8  $M_{Sun}$ , the temperature in the core can get high enough for C and O fusion, ending its life as a CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVA (type Ib, Ic, II).

The He-fusing shell adds ash to the C/O core, the core continues to contract, T rises until C fusion ignites, generating <sup>16</sup>O, <sup>20</sup>Ne, <sup>23</sup>Na, <sup>23</sup>Mg, <sup>24</sup>Mg (very dependent on mass of the star) ==> onion-like shell structure.

Following C fusion, the O in the resulting Ne/O core will fuse, producing new core dominated by <sup>28</sup>Si. At T~3x10<sup>9</sup> K, Si fusion begins:

 $S_{1}^{32} + H_{e}^{4} \Rightarrow S_{1}^{32} + Y$   $S_{1}^{32} + H_{e}^{4} \Rightarrow A_{1}^{36} + Y$   $S_{1}^{52} + H_{e}^{4} \Rightarrow A_{1}^{36} + Y$   $C_{1}^{52} + H_{e}^{4} \Rightarrow N_{1}^{54} + Y$ 

Si fusion produces nuclei centered near <sup>56</sup>Fe, most abundant being <sup>54</sup>Fe, <sup>56</sup>Fe, <sup>56</sup>Ni.





At each succeeding reaction, less and less energy is generated per unit of mass of fuel -> the timescale of each sequence becomes shorter:



At each succeeding reaction, less and less energy is generated per unit of mass of fuel -> the timescale of each sequence becomes shorter:

For M~20  $M_{Sun}$ : MS ~ 10<sup>7</sup> yr He core fusion ~ 10<sup>6</sup> yr C core fusion ~ 300 yr O core fusion ~ 200 days Si core fusion ~ 2 days



At each succeeding reaction, less and less energy is generated per unit of mass of fuel —> the timescale of each sequence becomes shorter:

For M~20  $M_{Sun}$ : MS ~ 10<sup>7</sup> yr He core fusion ~ 10<sup>6</sup> yr C core fusion ~ 300 yr O core fusion ~ 200 days Si core fusion ~ 2 days

When the mass of the contracting iron core is large enough (1.3  $M_{Sun}$  for M=10  $M_{Sun}$ ; 2.5  $M_{Sun}$  for M=50  $M_{Sun}$ ) and T is sufficiently high, photo-disintregation of <sup>56</sup>Fe and <sup>4</sup>He:





At each succeeding reaction, less and less energy is generated per unit of mass of fuel -> the timescale of each sequence becomes shorter:

For M~20  $M_{Sun}$ : MS ~ 10<sup>7</sup> yr He core fusion ~ 10<sup>6</sup> yr C core fusion ~ 300 yr O core fusion ~ 200 days Si core fusion ~ 2 days

When the mass of the contracting iron core is large enough (1.3  $M_{Sun}$  for M=10  $M_{Sun}$ ; 2.5  $M_{Sun}$  for M=50  $M_{Sun}$ ) and T is sufficiently high, photo-disintregation of <sup>56</sup>Fe and <sup>4</sup>He:



This is a highly endothermic process (energy is needed), hence thermal energy is removed from the gas that would have otherwise provided the pressure to support the core. Moreover, at density~ $10^{10}$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> and T~ $8x10^{9}$  K for a M=15 M<sub>Sun</sub>, electrons (which were providing support via electron degeneracy pressure) are captures by nuclei:

Because of electron capture, most of the support for the core (electron degeneracy pressure) is suddenly gone, and the core collapses extremely rapidly (speeds ~  $7x10^4$  km/s) ==> within 1 sec, the size of Earth is compressed to the size of ~50 km.

Because of electron capture, most of the support for the core (electron degeneracy pressure) is suddenly gone, and the core collapses extremely rapidly (speeds ~  $7x10^4$  km/s) ==> within 1 sec, the size of Earth is compressed to the size of ~50 km.

The collapse of the inner core continues until:  $e \sim 3 \times 10^{19}$  g/cm<sup>2</sup>  $\approx 3$  gatance

Because of electron capture, most of the support for the core (electron degeneracy pressure) is suddenly gone, and the core collapses extremely rapidly (speeds ~  $7x10^4$  km/s) ==> within 1 sec, the size of Earth is compressed to the size of ~50 km.

The collapse of the inner core continues until:  $e^{-3 \times 10^{19}}$   $3/cm^2 \approx 3$  gatance

At this point, neutron degeneracy pressure dominates, halting the collapse; the core rebounds, sending pressure waves outward into the falling material from the outer core -> shock wave moving outward. The shock will drive the envelope and the remainder of the nuclear-processed matter in front of it. The total kinetic energy in the expanding material is ~10<sup>51</sup> erg, roughly 1% of the energy released in neutrinos. At r~100 AU, the material becomes optically thin, with ~10<sup>49</sup> erg of energy released as photons with peak luminosity nearly ~10<sup>43</sup> erg/s, or  $3x10^9 L_{Sun} =>$  CORE-COLLAPSE SUPERNOVA





#### NOTE:

If M<25  $M_{Sun}$  —> neutron star, supported by degenerate neutron pressure If M>>25  $M_{Sun}$  —> black hole NOTE:

If M<25  $M_{Sun}$  —> neutron star, supported by degenerate neutron pressure If M>>25  $M_{Sun}$  —> black hole

s- and r- process nucleosynthesis:  $\overset{A}{\sim} X$ 

+5 + 11 2 + e + ve + y decay

NOTE:

If M<25  $M_{Sun}$  —> neutron star, supported by degenerate neutron pressure If M>>25  $M_{Sun}$  —> black hole



If the beta-decay half-life is short compared to the timescale for neutron capture, then SLOW PROCESS ("s"). s-process reactions tend to yield stable nuclei.

If the beta-decay half-life is long compared to the timescale for neutron capture, then RAPID PROCESS ("r"). r-process reactions result in n-rich nuclei.

"s" processes tend to happen in normal phases of stellar evolution, whereas "r" processes occur during supernova explosions when large flux of neutrons exists. These processes account for the abundance ratios of nuclei with A>60.



# WHITE DWARFS

 $T_{eff} \sim 5,000 - 80,000 \text{ K}$ 









A typical white dwarf has a radius comparable to the Earth! But the mass is comparable to the Sun (here show 1  $M_{Sun}$ , but really the average is ~0.5  $M_{Sun}$ ).



A typical white dwarf has a radius comparable to the Earth! But the mass is comparable to the Sun (here show 1  $M_{Sun}$ , but really the average is ~0.5  $M_{Sun}$ ).

 $R \sim 5.5 \times 10^{8} \text{ cm} \approx 0.008 \text{ Ro} < R_{E}$   $28 > \sim 3 \times 10^{6} 9/\text{cm}^{3}$ SARIUS B:  $P_{c} = \frac{2}{3}\pi G \rho^{2} R_{wD}^{2} \sim 3.8 \times 10^{23} \frac{dine}{cm^{2}} \approx 2 \times 10^{6} P_{c,c}$   $P(r) = \frac{2}{3}\pi G \rho^{2} (R^{2} - r^{2})$  from q. Hydrosstatic q.  $\frac{dP}{dr} = -\frac{4}{3}\pi G \rho^{2} r$ 

From 
$$\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{3}{42c} \frac{\bar{K}p}{T^3} \frac{Lr}{4\pi r^3}$$
  
 $\frac{T_{UND} - T_c}{R_{WD} - 0} = -\frac{3}{42c} \frac{\bar{K}p}{T_c^3} \frac{LwD}{4\pi R_{WD}^3}$   
Using  $\bar{R} = 0.2 \frac{cm^3}{9} (wing X=0) \text{ for the e scattering opacity}$   
 $F = T_c \simeq \left[\frac{3\bar{K}p}{4ac} \frac{LwD}{4\pi R_{WD}}\right]^{1/4} \approx 7.6 \times 10^7 \text{ K}$   
 $LwD \simeq 0.03 LO$ 

From 
$$\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{3}{4ac} \frac{\bar{K}p}{T^3} \frac{Lr}{4\pi r^3}$$
  
 $\frac{T_{ub} - T_c}{R_{ub} - 0} = -\frac{3}{4ac} \frac{\bar{K}p}{T_c^3} \frac{Lwp}{4\pi R_{wb}^3}$   
Using  $\bar{R} = 0.2 \frac{cm^3}{9} (wing X=0 \text{ for the e scattering opacity})$   
 $F = T_c \simeq \left[\frac{3\bar{K}p}{4ac} \frac{Lwp}{4\pi R_{wb}}\right]^{1/4} \approx \frac{7.6 \times 10^7 \text{ K}}{Lwp \simeq 0.03 \text{ Lo}}$ 

From 
$$\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{3}{4ac} \frac{\bar{K} p}{T^3} \frac{Lr}{4\pi rs}$$
  
 $\frac{T_{wb} - T_c}{R_{wb} - 0} = -\frac{3}{4ac} \frac{\bar{K} p}{T_c^3} \frac{Lw_D}{4\pi R_{wD}^3}$   
Using  $\bar{R} = 0.2 \frac{cm^3}{9} (anny X=0 \text{ for the e scattering opacity})$   
 $\bar{R} = \frac{3\bar{K} p}{4ac} \frac{Lw_D}{4\pi R_{wD}} \frac{1/4}{7.6 \times 10^7 \text{ K}} \frac{7.6 \times 10^7 \text{ K}}{Lw_D \approx 0.03 \text{ Lo}}$ 

White dwarfs are very hot ==> they cannot be made of H, otherwise nuclear reactions (pp chains and CNO cycle) would make these objects much more luminous. WDs have a thin layer of H covering a layer of He on the top of the C/O core.



From 
$$\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{3}{4ac} \frac{\bar{K} p}{T^3} \frac{Lr}{4\pi r^3}$$
  
 $\frac{T_{wb} - T_c}{R_{wb} - 0} = -\frac{3}{4ac} \frac{\bar{K} p}{T_c^3} \frac{Lwp}{4\pi R_{wb}^3}$   
Using  $\bar{R} = 0.2 \frac{cm^3}{9} (anny X=0 \text{ for the e scattering opacity})$   
 $F = T_c \simeq \left[\frac{3\bar{K} p}{4ac} \frac{Lwp}{4\pi R_{wb}}\right]^{1/4} \approx \frac{7.6 \times 10^7 \text{ K}}{Lwp \simeq 0.03 \text{ Lo}}$ 

White dwarfs are very hot => they cannot be made of H, otherwise nuclear reactions (pp chains and CNO cycle) would make these objects much more luminous. WDs have a thin layer of H covering a layer of He on the top of the C/O core.

Thermonuclear reactions are not responsible in producing the energy radiated by WDs. WDs are made of cores from stars with M<8-9  $M_{Sun}$ , mostly made of completely ionized C and O. Typical mass is 0.56  $M_{Sun}$ , with 80% in [0.42, 0.70]  $M_{Sun}$ . Significant mass loss occurred while on the AGB, through thermal pulses and superwinds.



$$P_{e,nr} = 1.00.4 \times 10^{13} \left(\frac{g}{\mu e}\right)^{5/3} \frac{dynes}{cm\theta} \approx 2 \times 10^{23} \frac{dynes}{cm^3}$$

$$\mu e \simeq 2$$

.

$$P_{e,nr} = 1.004 \times 10^{13} \left(\frac{g}{\mu e}\right)^{5/3} \frac{dynes}{cm\theta} \approx 2 \times 10^{23} \frac{dynes}{cm^3}$$

$$\mu e \simeq 2$$

This is similar to the previous estimate from hydrostatic equilibrium assumption ==> electron degeneracy pressure is responsible for maintaining hydrostatic equilibrium in a WD.

$$P_{e,nr} = 1.004 \times 10^{13} \left(\frac{g}{\mu e}\right)^{5/3} \frac{dynes}{cm\theta} \approx 2 \times 10^{23} \frac{dynes}{cm^3}$$

$$\mu e \simeq 2$$

This is similar to the previous estimate from hydrostatic equilibrium assumption ==> electron degeneracy pressure is responsible for maintaining hydrostatic equilibrium in a WD.

$$P_{e,nr} = 1.004 \times 10^{13} \left(\frac{g}{\mu e}\right)^{5/3} \frac{dynes}{cm\theta} \approx 2 \times 10^{23} \frac{dynes}{cm^3}$$

$$\mu e \simeq 2$$

This is similar to the previous estimate from hydrostatic equilibrium assumption ==> electron degeneracy pressure is responsible for maintaining hydrostatic equilibrium in a WD.

By placing 
$$P_{e,nr} = \frac{2}{3}\pi G g^2 R_{ND}^2$$
  $n/g = \frac{M_{ND}}{3} \frac{4}{3}\pi R_{ND}^3$   
 $\Rightarrow R_{ND} = \frac{7.723 \times 10^{12}}{(\mu e)^{5/3} (M_{ND})^{1/3} G} \approx 2.9 \times 10^6 cm$   
 $M_{ND} \cdot R_{ND}^3 = const$   
 $f$   
 $M_{ND} = const$   
 $i.e., more massive WDs are smaller$   
The mass-volume relation comes from  
the star deriving its support from  
electron degeneracy pressure.

**Chandrasekhar limit:** 

= 5.83 Mo ≈ 1.451 Mwt per 2 WDS

#### Structure of a White Dwarf



WDs simply cool off at an essentially constant radius as they slowly deplete their supply of thermal energy (degenerate electron pressure does not depend on T). The energy inside the WD is carried by electron conduction, so efficient that the interior of the WD is almost isothermal. Only at the nondegenerate surface, the heat is transferred less efficiently (through convection). From Eqs. 2 and 5A of stellar structure with the mass as the independent variable:

G 16#2r 2 YAC 3 16Tac GM T

From Eqs. 2 and 5A of stellar structure with the mass as the independent variable: 2, 3 16TACG For a non-degenerate surface:  $\overline{k}_{bf} = A_{bf} \frac{p}{\tau^{3.5}}$  $\overline{k}_{ff} = \Delta_{ff} \frac{p}{\tau^{3.5}}$ 

From Eqs. 2 and 5A of stellar structure with the mass as the independent variable:  $\frac{dT}{dM} = -\frac{3}{4AC} \frac{K}{T^3} \frac{L}{16\pi^2 r^4}$ GM HTTC 16TACGMT3 For a non-degenerate surface:  $\overline{k}_{bf} = A_{bf} \frac{p}{\tau^{3.5}}$  $\overline{k}_{ff} = A_{ff} \frac{p}{\tau^{3.5}}$  $\frac{dP}{dT} = \frac{16\pi}{3} \frac{GM}{L} \frac{acK}{A\mu m_{H}} \frac{\tau 7.5}{P} \qquad w/A$ 

From Eqs. 2 and 5A of stellar structure with the mass as the independent variable:  $-\frac{GM}{4\pi r^4}, \frac{dT}{dM} = -\frac{3}{4ac} \frac{K}{T^3} \frac{L}{16\pi^8 r^4}$ 16TACGMT3 For a non-degenerate surface:  $\overline{k}_{bf} = A_{bf} \frac{p}{T^{3.5}}$  $\overline{k}_{ff} = \Delta_{ff} \frac{p}{T^{3.5}}$  $\frac{dP}{dT} = \frac{16\pi}{3} \frac{GM}{L} \frac{\partial CK}{A \mu m_{H}} \frac{-77.5}{P} \qquad w/A = Abg + Agg$ P = SKT ump in/egratuce respect to P  $\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} P = \sqrt{\frac{4}{17}} \frac{16\pi}{3} \frac{GM}{L} \frac{Ack}{A\mu m_{H}}$ 



For a WD, using for the bound-free opacity:  $A = 4.34 \times 10^{25} Z(1+x) \frac{cm^3}{9}$ 

and using  $P = \int_{\mu}^{k} \mathcal{T}_{\mu} \mathcal{M}_{\mu}$  to replace the pressure P

For a WD, using for the bound-free opacity:  $A = 4.34 \times 10^{25} Z(1+x) \frac{cm^3}{9}$ and using  $P = \int^{k} \frac{1}{\mu} M_{H}$  to replace the pressure P

$$T = \left(\frac{4}{17} \frac{16\pi ac}{3} - \frac{GMw_{D}}{Lw_{D}} \frac{\mu M_{H}}{AK}\right)^{1/2} - \frac{13/4}{(n)}$$

For a WD, using for the bound-free opacity:  $A = 4.34 \times 10^{25} Z(1+x) \frac{cm^3}{9}$ and using  $P = \int_{\mu}^{KT} \mu_{M_{H}}$  to replace the pressure P  $\Rightarrow \qquad P = \left(\frac{4}{17} \frac{16\pi a c}{3} \frac{GM_{WD}}{L_{WD}} \frac{\mu_{M_{H}}}{AK}\right)^{1/2} T^{13/4}(n)$ 

At the transition between the non-degenerate surface layer and its isothermal degenerate interior:

$$\frac{g}{\mu e} = 2.4 \times 10^{-8} T_c^{3/2} (N)$$

For a WD, using for the bound-free opacity:  $A = 4.34 \times 10^{25} Z(1+x) \frac{cm^3}{9}$ and using  $P = \int_{\mu}^{k} T_{\mu} M_{\mu}$  to replace the pressure P  $\Rightarrow \qquad P = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 16\pi ac}{3} & \frac{GM_{WD}}{L_{WD}} & \frac{\mu}{Ak} \end{pmatrix}^{1/2} T^{13/4}(n)$ 

At the transition between the non-degenerate surface layer and its isothermal degenerate interior:

$$\frac{g}{\mu e} = 2.4 \times 10^{-8} T_c^{3/2} (N)$$

Equating  $(\sim)$  and  $(\sim\sim)$  and solving for the WD luminosity:

$$\frac{1}{100} = \text{constant} \cdot T_c^{7/2}$$

$$\frac{1}{17} \frac{16\pi ac}{3} = \frac{4}{17} \frac{16\pi ac}{3} = \frac{1}{17} \frac{16\pi$$

For a WD, using for the bound-free opacity:  $A = 4.34 \times 10^{25} Z(1+x) \frac{cm^3}{9}$ and using  $P = \int_{\mu}^{KT} \mu_{\mu} M_{\mu}$  to replace the pressure P  $\Rightarrow \qquad P = \left(\frac{4}{17} \frac{16\pi a c}{3} \frac{GM_{WD}}{L_{WD}} \frac{\mu_{m}}{A_{K}}\right)^{1/2} T^{13/4}(n)$ 

At the transition between the non-degenerate surface layer and its isothermal degenerate interior:

$$\frac{g}{\mu e} = 2.4 \times 10^{-8} T_c^{3/2} (N)$$

Equating  $(\sim)$  and  $(\sim\sim)$  and solving for the WD luminosity:

$$L_{WD} = constant \cdot T_{c}^{7/2}$$
  

$$W' constant = \frac{4}{17} \frac{16\pi ac}{3} G \frac{\mu m_{\mu}}{A \kappa} M_{WD} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2.4 \times 10^{-8} \mu c}}$$
  

$$W' constant = \frac{4}{17} \frac{16\pi ac}{3} G \frac{\mu m_{\mu}}{A \kappa} M_{WD} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2.4 \times 10^{-8} \mu c}}$$
  

$$W' = 1.4 \times 10^{4} \left(\frac{M_{WD}}{M_{C}}\right) \frac{\mu}{Z(1+X)}$$
  
For M\_{WD} = 1 M\_{Sun}, X=0, Y=0.9, Z=0.1 -> mean mol. weight = 1.4 L\_{WD}=0.03 L\_{Sun} ==> T\_{c}=4.4 \times 10^{7} K  

$$W' = 1.4 \times 10^{4} \left(\frac{M_{WD}}{M_{C}}\right) \frac{\mu}{Z(1+X)}$$
  
With [LwD]=erg/s

You can estimate the cooling timescale with:  $t_{cooling} = U/L_{WD}$ 









A better estimate needs to account for the fact that the temperature decreases with cooling:

$$-\frac{d}{dt}U = LwD = C (T_c)^{7/2}$$

Depletion of the internal thermal energy providing the luminosity

ntegrating: 
$$T_{c}(t) = T_{o}\left(1 + \frac{5}{2} \frac{t}{T_{o}}\right)^{-7/5}$$
  
 $T_{o} = \frac{3M_{Wb}K}{2Am_{A}CT_{o}^{-5/2}} \approx 10^{9} \text{ yr}$   
initial temperature

I

A=4, M=M<sub>Sun</sub>, L=0.001 L<sub>Sun</sub>







#### There are two principle avenues for WD mass growth



In either case, if the mass exceeds the Chandrasekhar mass, then the electron degeneracy pressure cannot support the star and it collapses under gravity resulting in a supernova — *no remnant*!

# Accretion disks



# Accretion disks



# Type Ia (white dwarf) SN



The Center for Astrophysical Thermonuclear Flashes

### Simulation of the Deflagration and Detonation Phases of a Type Ia Supernovae

30 initial bubbles in 100 km radius. Ignition occurs 80 km from the center of the star. Hot material is shown in color and stellar surface in green.

This work was supported in part at the University of Chicago by the DOE NNSA ASC ASAP and by the NSF. This work also used computational resources at LBNL NERSC awarded under the INCITE program, which is supported by the DOE Office of Science.



An Advanced Simulation and Computation (ASC) Academic Strategic Alliances Program (ASAP) Center at The University of Chicago



# Type Ia (white dwarf) SN



The Center for Astrophysical Thermonuclear Flashes

### Simulation of the Deflagration and Detonation Phases of a Type Ia Supernovae

30 initial bubbles in 100 km radius. Ignition occurs 80 km from the center of the star. Hot material is shown in color and stellar surface in green.

This work was supported in part at the University of Chicago by the DOE NNSA ASC ASAP and by the NSF. This work also used computational resources at LBNL NERSC awarded under the INCITE program, which is supported by the DOE Office of Science.



An Advanced Simulation and Computation (ASC) Academic Strategic Alliances Program (ASAP) Center at The University of Chicago



#### Type Ia supernovae light curves:



#### Type Ia supernovae light curves:



#### Type Ia supernovae light curves:



Empirical adjustment can be made so all have the same absolute magnitude, i.e. luminosity.

The same luminosity means that if you identify that something is a Type la supernova, it can be used as a standard candle to get distance.



This is used to constrain cosmological models specifically the fact that we are dominated by dark energy (here  $\Omega_A$ )

(more on this in Astro 32!)



#### Reading assignment THURSDAY 12/3: 16.6, 16.7

Homework Assignment #5 due by: TUESDAY 12/15 before 9AM.