#### Highly Excited Strings in String Perturbation Theory

Dimitri Skliros (Nottingham)

COSMIC STRINGS 2014 ASU & TUFTS workshop, Feb 3-5, Tempe, Arizona

#### Motivation

Highly excited superstrings (HES) form a benchmark of string theory.

They are related to the UV finiteness, play key role in regions of strong gravity (e.g. singularities<sup>1</sup>, early universe<sup>2</sup>, black holes<sup>3</sup>), provide a source of non-locality (desirable<sup>4</sup> e.g. in resolving information paradox), and their properties may even lead to string theory signatures, e.g. in context of COSMIC SUPERSTRINGS<sup>2</sup>).

<sup>1</sup>Horowitz, Steif (1997); ...

 $^2$ Amati, Ciafaloni, Veneziano (1988); D'Appollonio, Di Vecchia, Russo Veneziano (2013); $\ldots$ 

<sup>4</sup>Susskind (1995); Low, Polchinski, Susskind, ..., (1997); Giddings (2007); Hartman, Maldacena (2013)...

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Sen (1998); Dvali & Vilenkin (2004); Copeland, Myers, Polchinski (2004); Hindmarsh (2011); DS, Copeland, Saffin (2013); ...

# Cosmic String Zoo

Compactifications of string theory lead to many potential cosmic string candidates (see e.g. Tye's talk):<sup>5</sup>

- F-strings
- D-strings
- (p, q)-strings
- wrapped D-branes
- solitonic strings
- electric and magnetic flux tubes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Dvali, Vilenkin (2004); Copeland, Myers, Polchinski (2004); Polchinski (2006); Banks, Seiberg (2011)

# Cosmic String Zoo

Compactifications of string theory lead to many potential cosmic string candidates (see e.g. Tye's talk):<sup>6</sup>

- D-strings
- (p, q)-strings
- wrapped D-branes
- solitonic strings
- electric and magnetic flux tubes

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Sarangi, Tye (2002); Dvali, Vilenkin (2004); Copeland, Myers, Polchinski (2004); Polchinski (2006); Banks, Seiberg (2011)

# Effective Theory Approach

Traditionally one discusses CS in terms of effective theory (EFT).

Although these EFTs often follow from defining string theory equations,<sup>7</sup> the assumptions adopted to derive<sup>8</sup> them also highlight their weaknesses and limited applicability.

E.g., they do not capture truly stringy features, such as:

- couplings to infinite set of oscillator states (e.g. relevant for cusp emission)
- inherently QM processes (e.g. string inter-commutations)
- break down at small scales (e.g. relevant for loop production)

$${}^7\sum_h\int \mathcal{D}(Xg)e^{iS_{
m Polyakov}}\simeq\int \mathcal{D}(GB\Phi\dots)e^{iS_{
m eff}}$$
  
8 Tseytlin (1991)

# HES in String Theory

Going beyond EFTs ...

In perturbative string theory one speaks in terms of vertex operators, D-branes, and scattering amplitudes.

New efficient tools appropriate for HES now available, making computations with HES tractable and efficient!<sup>9</sup>

 $\Rightarrow$  Trick is to consider strings in a coherent state basis where calculations become efficient and tractable<sup>10</sup> ..

<sup>9</sup>Skliros, Hindmarsh (2011); Hindmarsh, Skliros (2011)
 <sup>10</sup>Skliros, Copeland, Saffin (2013)

# Coherent Vertex Operators

#### Definition of closed string coherent state:

- (a) is specified by a (possibly infinite) set of continuous labels  $(\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$ , which may be associated to the left- and right-moving modes;
- (b) produces a resolution of unity,

$$1 = \sum \int d\lambda dar{\lambda} |\lambda,ar{\lambda};\dots
angle \langle\lambda,ar{\lambda};\dots|,$$

so that the |λ, λ;...⟩ span the string Hilbert space. The dots
"..." denote possible additional quantum numbers;
(c) transforms correctly under all symmetries of the string theory

#### Coherent Vertex Operators

To construct covariant coherent vertex operators (CVO):

- 1. excite string ground state,  $V_{\text{ground state}}$ , with massless states,  $V_{\text{massless}}$ , of momenta  $k = n_i q$ , with  $q^2 = 0$ ,  $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}^+$
- 2. sum over resulting excited states,  $V_{\text{excited}}^{(r)}$ , ie sum over r



With appropriate combinatorial coefficients,  $c_r$ , in sum, resulting state  $V = \sum_r c_r V_{\text{excited}}^{(r)}$  satisfies above definition of CVOs

### Classical-Quantum Map

Given any classical solution, there is a one-to-one map to the corresponding quantum coherent vertex  ${\rm operators}^{11}$ 

For example,<sup>12</sup>

$$X(z,\bar{z}) = \frac{i}{n} \left( \lambda_n \, z^{-n} - \lambda_n^* \, z^n \right) + \frac{i}{m} \left( \bar{\lambda}_m \, \bar{z}^{-m} - \, \bar{\lambda}_m^* \, \bar{z}^m \right),$$

corresponds to CVO,<sup>13</sup>

$$V(z,\bar{z}) = : C \int_0^{2\pi} ds \exp\left(\frac{i}{n} e^{ins} \lambda_n \cdot D_z^n X e^{-inq \cdot X(z)}\right)$$
$$\times \exp\left(\frac{i}{m} e^{-ims} \bar{\lambda}_m \cdot \bar{D}_{\bar{z}}^m X e^{-imq \cdot X(\bar{z})}\right) e^{ip \cdot X(z,\bar{z})}$$

<sup>11</sup>Hindmarsh & Skliros PRL (2011)

 $^{12}X$  spacetime embedding;  $z, \bar{z}$  worldsheet coordinates; p momenta;  $\lambda_n, \bar{\lambda}_n$  polarisation tensors; n harmonics

<sup>13</sup>Skliros & Hindmarsh (2011)

# HES Decay and Radiaton



We here compute decay rates and power associated to massless emission for special class of HES states in IR (on  $\mathbb{R}^{D-1,1} \times T^{26-D}$ )

### Some History First

A handful of references on decay rates of HES:

- Wilkinson, Turok, Mitchell (1990): Locing Regge (bosonic) states,  $\mathbb{R}^{25,1}$ , (numerical),  $\Gamma_{d=4} \propto L$  and  $\Gamma_{d=26} \propto L^{-1}$
- Dabholkar, Mandal, Ramadevi (1998): higher genus bound on leading Regge Heterotic states,  $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times T^6$ ,  $\Gamma \lesssim M^{-1}$
- lengo, Russo (2002-6); Chialva, lengo, Russo (2004-5): leading: <u>Regge</u> superstring states, ℝ<sup>D-1,1</sup> × T<sup>10-D</sup>, (numerical),

$$\Gamma \sim G_D \mu^2 L^{5-D}, \qquad \mu = 1/2\pi \alpha'$$

- Gutplerle & Krym (2006); leading Regge Heterotic states,  $\mathbb{R}^{8,1} \times S^1$ , (numerical)

# Simplest Example

When only first harmonics are present,

$$V = \int_0^{2\pi} ds \exp\left(e^{is}i\zeta \cdot \partial_z X e^{-iq \cdot X}\right) \exp\left(e^{-is}i\overline{\zeta} \cdot \partial_{\overline{z}} X e^{-iq \cdot X}\right) e^{ip \cdot X}$$

These correspond to *leading Regge* trajectories, with,

$$\zeta_\mu \equiv \lambda^i (\delta^i_\mu - {
ho}^i q_\mu), \qquad L^2 = rac{16\pi}{\mu} ig(|\zeta|-1ig), \qquad |\zeta| \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

The classical analogue reads:

$$X(z,ar z)=iig(\lambda\,z^{-1}\!\!-\lambda^*\,z^1ig)+iig(ar\lambda\,ar z^{-1}\!\!-ar\lambda^*\,ar z^1ig),$$

#### Massless Radiation: Results For massless radiation (i.e. $m_1^2 = 0$ ) from above CVO vertices. In the IR the result ressums:<sup>14</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dP}{d\Omega_{S^{D-2}}}\Big|_{m_{1}^{2}=0} &= \sum_{N} \frac{16\pi G_{D}\mu^{2}}{(2\pi)^{D-4}} \,\omega^{D-4-\delta} N^{2} \\ & \left[ J'_{N}^{2}(A) + \left( (N/A)^{2} - 1 \right) J_{N}^{2}(A) + \mathcal{O}(1/(\alpha'M^{2})) \right] \\ & \left[ J'_{N}^{2}(\bar{A}) + \left( (N/\bar{A})^{2} - 1 \right) J_{N}^{2}(\bar{A}) + \mathcal{O}(1/(\alpha'M^{2})) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where the frequency of emitted radiation,<sup>15</sup>

$$\omega = \frac{4\pi N}{L}$$
, with  $N = 1, 2, \dots$ 

Taking  $\delta = 1$  yields a decay rate,  $\delta = 0$  yields a power.

<sup>14</sup>DS, Copeland and Saffin (PRL 2013)

<sup>15</sup>Here  $A = N\sqrt{2}|\hat{\mathbb{P}} \cdot \hat{\lambda}_1|$ ,  $\bar{A} = N\sqrt{2}|\hat{\mathbb{P}} \cdot \hat{\lambda}_1|$ , the  $J_n(z)$  are Bessel and  $M = \mu L$ ,  $\mu = 1/(2\pi\alpha')$ 

# Effective Description

The above power was shown<sup>16</sup> to agree precisely with that associated to the effective theory,

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G_D} \int d^D x \sqrt{-G} e^{-2\Phi} \Big( R_{(D)} + 4(\nabla \Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{12} H_{(3)}^2 + \dots \Big) \\ - \mu \int_{S^2} \partial X^\mu \wedge \bar{\partial} X^\nu \big( G_{\mu\nu} + B_{\mu\nu} \big) + \dots,$$

where  $\Phi$ ,  $G_{\mu\nu}$  and  $H_{(3)}$  are the dilaton, spacetime metric and 3-form field strength, H = dB, respectively

(We plug classical solutions for X (from classical-CVO map) and compute perturbations in G, B and  $\Phi$ )

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>DS, Copeland and Saffin (PRL 2013)

#### Higher Harmonics

... the above correspondence acts as a guiding principle to write down the general result for arbitrary harmonics (n, m):<sup>17</sup>

$$\frac{dP}{d\Omega_{S^{D-2}}}\Big|_{m_{1}^{2}=0} = \sum_{N} \frac{16\pi G_{D}\mu^{2}}{(2\pi)^{D-4}} \omega^{D-4-\delta} (Nuwg)^{2} \\ \left[J'_{Nw}^{2}(A) + \left((Nw/A)^{2} - 1\right)J_{Nw}^{2}(A)\right] \\ \left[J'_{Nu}^{2}(\bar{A}) + \left((Nu/\bar{A})^{2} - 1\right)J_{Nu}^{2}(\bar{A})\right]$$

with  $n \equiv gu$ ,  $m \equiv gw$ , integers and u, w relatively prime. (g can be interpreted as a winding number:  $M \sim g\mathcal{R}/\alpha'$ , with effective radius,  $\mathcal{R}$ , determined by dynamics.)

 $\frac{17}{\mathsf{Here}\;A} = Nw\sqrt{2}|\hat{\mathbb{P}}\cdot\hat{\lambda}_{n}|,\;\bar{A} = Nu\sqrt{2}|\hat{\mathbb{P}}\cdot\hat{\bar{\lambda}}_{m}|$ 

#### Duality of 2-Point Amplitudes In general, all string decay rates (and mass shifts) are invariant under:

 $\lambda_n \to \lambda'_n = (-)^n \lambda_n^*, \quad \bar{\lambda}_n \to \bar{\lambda}'_n = (-)^n \bar{\lambda}_n^*, \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2 \dots$  $\to \text{distinct string trajectories have the same decay rates and mass shifts!$ 



#### Summary

- Discussed construction of generic covariant coherent vertex operators and their classical analogues
- Analytically computed decay rates and powers associated to massless emission for special class of HES states in IR (on  $\mathbb{R}^{D-1,1} \times T^{26-D}$ )
- Found effective field theory that reproduces the leading terms of these decay rates and powers
- Discovered a duality setting decay rates of arbitrary strings equal to those of the dual strings

# Future Prospects

- It is now possible to explore truly stringy signatures of cosmic superstrings
- Radiative backreaction is naturally included
- The possibility of massive emission is naturally incorporated
- Can be used to derive effective theories (e.g. for massive particle emission)
- The corresponding superstring version is under construction
- Applies at both small and macroscopic scales

# High Frequencies

At high frequencies, ie large N, (when backreaction and massive radiation is neglected),

$$rac{dP_N}{d\Omega_{S^{D-2}}} \propto (Nuw)^{D-4-\delta} \Big(rac{uw}{N}\Big)^{2/3} g^{D-2-\delta}$$

generalising the D = 4, u = w = g = 1 result of Vachaspati and Vilenkin (1986).

### String Decay Rates

From unitarity,  $S^{\dagger}S = 1$ , one can show that decay rates can be extracted (to leading order in  $g_s$ ) from:

$$\Gamma = rac{1}{M} \operatorname{Im} \int d^D \mathbb{P} \, \mathcal{M}_1(\mathbb{P}).$$

The imaginary part is computed by searching for pinch singularities, where the  $\mathbb{P}^0$  contour of integration is pinched between two poles as we vary  $k^0$  in the complex plane, leading to:

$$egin{aligned} \Gamma &= rac{1}{M}\int d^D\mathbb{P}\;\sum_{\{m_j,\,k^\mu\}} \,|\,\ldots\,|^2\,\delta(\mathbb{P}^2+m_1^2)\deltaig((k-\mathbb{P})^2+m_2^2ig) \end{aligned}$$

with  $m_1^2 = \left(\frac{N}{R}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{M'R}{2}\right)^2 + r + \bar{r} - 2, \ m_2^2 = \dots$ 

Two comments:

1. The continuous quantum numbers may (conveniently) be associated with the *classical* polarisation tensors of string embeddings,<sup>18</sup>

$$X(z,ar{z})=x-ip\ln|z|^2+\sum_{n
eq 0}rac{i}{n}ig(\lambda_n z^{-n}+ar{\lambda}_nar{z}^{-n}ig),$$

so that:

$$|\lambda,ar{\lambda}; p
angle = |\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\dots,ar{\lambda}_1,ar{\lambda}_2,\dots; p
angle \simeq V(\lambda,ar{\lambda})$$

2. Coherent states are *not* be eigenstates of annihilation operators in general,

$$\alpha_{n>0}|\lambda,\bar{\lambda};\ldots\rangle\neq\lambda_{n}|\lambda,\bar{\lambda};\ldots\rangle$$

<sup>18</sup>X spacetime embedding;  $z, \overline{z}$  worldsheet coordinates;  $\overline{p}$  momenta;  $\lambda_n, \overline{\lambda}_n$  polarisation tensors; n harmonics