

In collaboration with Jose Juan Blanco-Pillado, Ben Shlaer

References:

"Large parallel cosmic string simulations: New results on loop production" (2011)

"A new parallel simulation technique" (2012)

Plus some new results.

Nambu-Goto string simulation.

Flat space motion:

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \mathbf{a}(\sigma - t) + \mathbf{b}(\sigma + t) \right]$$

with  $a'^2 = b'^2 = 1$ .

 $\Rightarrow$  If we know a and b, we can determine x at any time without simulation.

Exact (in flat space). No "minimum simulation resolution". No "points per correlation length". Loops can (and do) form any size.

Form of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ 

- What functional form for  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ ?
- Piecewise linear (a' and b' piecewise constant).
- Initial cond Vachaspati-Vilenkin. String straight across each cell. Two kinks (one in  $\mathbf{a}$ , one in  $\mathbf{b}$ ) at each face.
- Can use more. No significant effect on results.
- Initial conditions have no features below VV cell size, but such features can (and do) develop.



Linear pieces of  ${\bf a}$  combine with linear pieces of  ${\bf b}$  to form flat "diamonds".



- 2-D world sheet embedded in 4-D spacetime. Sheets may intersect at points.
- We store all diamonds existing at current time.
- Evolve world sheet of all strings; look for intersections.
- At intersection, split segments that intersected, reconnect. Still piecewise linear.

Expanding universe

Comoving coordinates: expansion  $\rightarrow$  redshifting.

In exact evolution, string segments become curved. Each segment remembers its entire past: too muc data.

Instead, handle redshifting only at first order, so that new edges are straight (but interior curved).

String slows down, diamond curves upward.

First order in (segment size)/(Hubble distance), so improves rapidly with time.



- Loop of length L with N segments each of  $\mathbf{a}$  and  $\mathbf{b}$ .
- In one oscillation, each  ${\bf a}$  combines with each  ${\bf b}.$  Computational effort  $N^2.$
- Amount of time simulated L/2. Thus if L tiny, simulation goes very slowly.
- $\Rightarrow$  Small loops must be removed.
- Loop identification procedure: must not intersect itself or rejoin long network for 2 oscillations.
- Then record, remove loop.

## Parallelization technique

Rather than dividing up the spatial volume into regions for different processors, we divide spacetime volume into a large number of cubical regions.



Each region can be simulated independently of others. So no coordination between processors is needed. Each region can be run when 4 predecessors have finished.

No particular number of processors required.

Scope of simulation

- In units of initial Vachaspati-Vilenkin cell size (= correlation length of initial conditions) we have done a box of
- 2000 units on a side in flat space 1500 in the radiation era 1000 in the matter era (but only for 500 units of conformal time)
- CPU time not too long because no extra points introduced except at actual intersections. One radiation-era run of size 1500 takes 4000 core-hours, < 1 day real time.

Scope of simulation

Dynamic range (= conformal end/conformal start). Depends on initial clock setting. With fixed initial conditions, match network parameters to scaling solution at best-fit time.

Figure of merit: interstring distance approaches scaling values quickly as possible.

Radiation era: start t = 6, dynamic range 251. Matter era: start t = 9, dynamic range 56.

## Results

- Scaling: all linear quantities should be proportional.
- Divide everything by horizon distance:
- Interstring distance  $d = \sqrt{\mu/\rho_{\infty}}$ . Scaling:  $d/d_h$ .
- Scaling loop length:  $x = l/d_h$ .
- Scaling loop production rate: f(x)
- f(x)dx = number of loops formed in volume  $d_h^3$  in time  $d_h$  with sizes in range dx.

Interstring distance  $d/d_h$ . Two runs of size 1000 in the matter era





Loop production function: 7 runs of size 1500 in the radiation era  $x^2 f(x)$ 20 50.0 to 66.41 66.41 to 88.2 18 88.2 to 117.1 117.1 to 155.6 155.6 to 206.6 16 206.6 to 274 274.4 to 364.4 364.4 to 484.0 14 484.0 to 642.9 642.9 to 853.8 853.8 to 1134 12 1134. to 1506. 10 8 6 4 2 0 1e-06 0.001 0.01 1e-08 1e-07 1e-05 0.0001 0.1  $x = l/d_{h}$ 

## Where do the little loops come from?



## That's all, Folks!

- Coming up:
- Ben on loop number distribution.
- Jose on shapes of loops and presence of cusps.